



AGENDA

For a meeting of the	
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY PANEL	
to be held on	
TUESDAY, 5 SEPTEMBER 2006	
at	
2.30 PM	
in	
STUDIO 4, THE GUILDHALL ARTS CENTRE, ST. PETER'S HILL, GRANTHAM	
Duncan Kerr, Chief Executive	

Panel Members:	Councillor David Brailsford, Councillor Elizabeth Channell, Councillor Nick Craft (Vice-Chairman), Councillor Donald Fisher, Councillor Bryan Helyar, Councillor Fereshteh Hurst, Councillor Stanley Pease, Councillor Mrs Margery Radley and Councillor Jeffrey Thompson (Chairman)
Scrutiny Officer:	Paul Morrison 01476 406512 p.morrison@southkesteven.gov.uk
Scrutiny Support Officer:	Jo Toomey 01476 406152 j.toomey@southkesteven.gov.uk

Members of the Panel are invited to attend the above meeting to consider the items of business listed below.

- 1. COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**
To receive comments or views from members of the public at the Panel's discretion.
- 2. MEMBERSHIP**
The Panel to be notified of any substitute members.
- 3. APOLOGIES**
- 4. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**
Members are asked to declare interests in items for consideration at the meeting.
- 5. ACTION NOTES**
The notes of the meeting held on 12th July 2006 are attached for information.
(Enclosure)
- 6. FEEDBACK FROM THE EXECUTIVE**

7. UPDATES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

8. GORSE LANE, GRANTHAM

The Panel will discuss their site visit to Gorse Lane, Grantham and the impact that heavy traffic has had.

9. ENERGY EFFICIENCY

The Panel will receive an update on steps that are being taken to increase the Council's energy efficiency.

10. INCENTIVISATION OF RECYCLING

The Panel will receive a presentation on the incentivisation of recycling.

11. REPORTS FROM WORKING GROUPS

The Deepings Leisure Centre Working Group will update the Panel.

12. BEST VALUE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

(Enclosure)

13. WORK PROGRAMME

(Enclosure)

14. REPRESENTATIVES ON OUTSIDE BODIES

Representatives on outside bodies to give update reports.

15. ANY OTHER BUSINESS, WHICH THE CHAIRMAN, BY REASONS OF SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, DECIDES IS URGENT.

WORKING STYLE OF SCRUTINY

The Role Of Scrutiny

- To provide a "critical friend" challenge to the Executive as well as external authorities and agencies
- To reflect the voice and concerns of the public and its communities
- Scrutiny Members should take the lead and own the Scrutiny Process on behalf of the public
- Scrutiny should make an impact on the delivery of public services

Remember...

- Scrutiny should be member led
- Any conclusions must be backed up by evidence
- Meetings should adopt an inquisitorial rather than adversarial style of traditional local government committees



MEETING OF THE HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY PANEL

WEDNESDAY, 12 JULY 2006 2.30 PM

PANEL MEMBERS PRESENT

Councillor David Brailsford
Councillor Elizabeth Channell
Councillor Nick Craft (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor Donald Fisher

Councillor Fereshteh Hurst
Councillor Stan Pease
Councillor Jeff Thompson (Chairman)

OFFICERS

Scrutiny Officer
Scrutiny Support Officer

One member of the public

11. COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

One member of the public attended the meeting and spoke about the NHS Community Services consultation paper. As a member of the National Child Birth Trust, she was particularly concerned about the decrease in access to health visitors. She suggested that this could put children at increased risk, as problems that could be identified and resolved at an early stage could be missed.

She was also concerned about the loss of a midwifery-led maternity unit at Grantham hospital. The level of services available at Grantham hospital would be discussed at an extraordinary meeting of the full Council to be held on 20th July 2006.

12. APOLOGIES

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Mrs. Radley.

13. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations of interest were made.

14. ACTION NOTES

Noted.

15. CONSULTATION ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO LINCOLNSHIRE NHS COMMUNITY SERVICES

At the meeting of the Cabinet held on June 12th 2006, the Healthy Environment DSP

were tasked with preparing a response to the consultation paper on proposed changes to Lincolnshire NHS Community Services. The Panel considered that the document was very clear and presented all alternatives.

The Panel made the following observations:

- Page 5: Further to previous consultation, the DSP opposed proposals to amalgamate PCTs. Lincolnshire was considered too great an area to be covered by one PCT;
- Page 7: *"The proposals detailed in this consultation paper have been carefully considered to ensure that improvements in health outcomes are maximised with the least impact on services that patients receive."* Panel members felt that there should be no impact on the level of services patients receive and that quality should be maintained;
- Page 27: The proposition to reorganise the workload of the District Nursing Service was supported provided that costs would not be displaced to the ambulance service;
- Page 28: Decreasing the period during which children have access to health visitors was not seen as being problematic;
- Page 29: The reprioritisation of the health visiting service was supported, however it was felt that the role of the Health Worker and the Social Worker should be more clearly defined to prevent gaps in access to services. The Panel were also keen for the further development of communication between health visitors and social services;
- Page 30: As the service was not universally available, it was felt that baby massage should be removed if there were no medical benefits. Members suggested that comparator information should be available from areas where baby massage is and is not available;
- Page 31: The suggestion was made that if the school nursing service could be removed for a period of a year without detrimental effects, the PCT should consider removing the service completely. A lot of information that would be provided through this programme would be covered as part of a school's curriculum and would be delivered through teachers. It was also suggested that reintroducing the scheme after a year would only reassert previous budgetary pressures. The Panel agreed that the school nursing provision should be stopped and then only reinstated if a tangible need was identified in 2007;
- Page 45: Panel members were opposed to the instatement of a Dental Business Manager. They considered a dental practitioner would be able to collate the same information;
- Page 46: The centralisation of podiatry services was supported provided that there would be no cost displacement to the ambulance service. It was noted that without sufficient transport arrangements, those who were most in need of the service might not have access to it;
- Page 48: 12 assessment centres for physiotherapy was considered to be a small number. While the Panel acknowledged that the time of physiotherapists would be best used treating patients instead of travelling, they felt that it would be better if access to these services remained localised. Panel members suggested that the PCT should consider contracting physiotherapy work out to the private sector. This would ensure that more patients had access to physiotherapy services in their area;
- Page 55: As the fluoridation of water was only available to people living within the boundaries of two of the three PCTs, it was suggested that the service should be withdrawn from all PCTs following a like-for-like comparison between areas with and without fluoridation, which would clearly show any advantages of fluoridation. Information should be gathered to prove any advantages of

- fluoridation before the PCT consider reinstating it;
- Pages 59-61 and 63: Following discussion, the Panel agreed with the recommendations on IVF, breast reduction surgery and cosmetic surgery. They also agreed with recommendations on total hip replacements, total knee replacements and hysterectomies;
- Pages 62: Prescription of Trastuzumab (Herceptin) should be encompassed under national policy rather than dependent on the PCT area.

It was also suggested that the PCT should consider making savings by cutting administrative costs.

16. BEST VALUE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Noted.

There was concern that the indicator for the percentage of household waste recycled and composted had not met the Council's target. The Panel discussed whether the level of the target would mean that the indicator would not be successfully met until the rollout of the twin bin scheme. Members discussed the potential impact of the scheme and the seasonal increase in green waste that would occur during the summer, which would also help the Council achieve the 2006/07 target of 33%. The Panel agreed to invite a representative from Waste and Contract Services to the next meeting of the Panel on Tuesday 5th September to explain the indicators.

CONCLUSION:

To invite a representative from Waste and Contract Services to the meeting of the Panel on September 5th 2006 to explain the figures for the indicator on the percentage of household waste recycled and composted.

17. WORK PROGRAMME

The Scrutiny Officer explained that some items would need removing from the work programme. Those areas that were identified for removal were: the design competition for the promotion of the twin bin scheme, review of Council priorities, powers to restrict the consumption of alcohol in designated public places and the consultation on proposed changes to NHS Community Services.

The future of Deepings Leisure Centre would remain on the work programme. The last scheduled meeting of the group had been cancelled due to a lack of member availability. In attempts to prevent this recurring, the DSP agreed to invite Councillors Exton and Howard to sit on the working group. Councillors Craft, Helyar and Pease would remain members. The next meeting of this group would be held on Friday 28th July and would meet at 10:00am in the Deepings Leisure Centre.

It was requested that concerns of the Grantham Road Users Group over Gorse Rise, Grantham should be added to the work programme. Residents of the area had been experiencing noise and pollution from the road and a nearby business. The Panel agreed that there should be a site visit to the area at their next meeting and that the following should be invited: the local County Councillor, the relevant officer from Lincolnshire County Council Highways Department, a police representative and up to two people to speak on behalf of the residents of Gorse Lane.

One member of the Panel was concerned about the future of Stamford Hospital. Following two previous visits from the Peterborough and Stamford Hospitals NHS

Foundation Trust, it was agreed that they should be requested to provide a written interim report explaining long-term plans for the site.

CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. To invite Councillors Exton and Howard to join the Deepings Leisure Centre Working Group;**
- 2. To hold the next meeting of the Deepings Leisure Centre Working Group on Friday 28th July at 10:00 am at the Deepings Leisure Centre;**
- 3. On the 5th September, the Panel should meet at 1:30pm at the Council Offices, Grantham, to go on a site visit to Gorse Lane, Grantham;**
- 4. To invite the following on the Gorse Lane site visit:**
 - The local County Councillor for the Gorse Lane area;**
 - The relevant officer from Lincolnshire County Council's Highways Department;**
 - A representative from the police;**
 - Residents of Gorse Lane, who should elect no more than two people to speak on their behalf.**
- 5. The Peterborough and Stamford Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust should be asked to provide a written interim report detailing long-term plans for the Stamford Hospital site. This should be presented for the next meeting of the DSP on September 5th 2006.**

18. CLOSE OF MEETING

The meeting was closed at 16:35.

Healthy Environment DSP - Performance Monitoring 2005/06

Those indicators with a number in the PI column are from the Government's Best Value Performance Indicators suite used by many Councils. The remaining indicators are local to SKDC and may be relatively simple measures/indicators only. The reader is asked therefore to exercise an element of caution when interpreting any data attached to them.

IND Type = C - Cumulative/% - Percentage/ CA - Cumulative Average/N - Number/A - Average
Reporting = blank - Monthly/Q - Quarterly/Y - Yearly/H - Half yearly (Sept)

PI	SKDC Priority Area and PI Description	Lead Officer	IND Type	Reporting	2005/06 SKDC Outturn	2004/05 Upper Quartile	2006/ 2007 SKDC Target	April	May	June	Are We Improv- ing Yr on Yr?	2007/ 2008 SKDC Targets	2008/ 2009 SKDC Targets
RECYCLING Priority A													
BVPI 82a/b	Recycling - % of household waste recycled and composted (estimates until year end)	Garry Knighton	C		26.1%	26.1%	33%	28.6%*	29.2%*	30.5%	Y	39%	50%
STREET SCENE Priority B													
BVPI 199	Cleanliness of relevant land and highways	Garry Knighton	%	Q	12%	11%	9%			10%	N	8%	7%
SK10	Street Cleaning pass rate for town centres	Garry Knighton	%		95%	N/A	96%	95%	100%	100%	Y	97%	98%
SK11	No.of fixed penalty fines issued	Garry Knighton	C		352	N/A	300	24	51	66	Y	300	300
SK12	Average time taken to remove flytips	Garry Knighton	C		1 day	N/A	1 day	1 day	1 day	1	Y	1 day	1 day
SK13	Satisfaction with street scene by Shoppers Survey	Garry Knighton	%	Q	66.00%	N/A	70%			78.95%	N/A	75%	80%

DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY PANELS (DSPs) WORK PROGRAMME 2006/7

INTRODUCTION

This Work Programme is partly derived from the Cabinet's Forward Plan, but also contains items that have been brought forward by the DSPs themselves.

Where the item has appeared on the Forward Plan, the anticipated date of the key decision is listed in the second column. The third column shows the last available date that the full DSP can consider this item before the key decision is due to be taken (unless a special meeting is called). This does NOT necessarily mean that the item will appear on the DSP agenda, this will only happen if this is requested by the Chairman or members of the DSP. There will also be instances where there is no DSP meeting before a decision is due to be taken; in these cases the next meeting date after the decision date is shown.

As Cabinet meets monthly and the DSPs meet bi-monthly it is not possible within the current timetable of meetings for the DSPs to consider every single Cabinet or Cabinet Member decision. Scrutiny members are therefore encouraged to read this Work Programme and bring forward items for consideration where they think that an item should be considered by the DSP.

**DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY PANELS (DSPs)
WORK PROGRAMME 2006/7**

HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT DSP			
<u>ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION</u>	<u>Date item appeared on Forward Plan</u>	<u>DATE OF KEY DECISION (IF APPROPRIATE)</u>	<u>DSP MEETING /ACTION</u>
Grantham Hospital – possible closures Hospital Provision in Stamford		N/a	Issue to be revisited later in year
Deepings Leisure Centre - future		N/a	Working Group meeting, to report to DSP on 05.09.06
Energy efficiency		N/a	05.09.06
Gorse Lane, Grantham		N/a	05.09.06
Recycling incentivisation – consideration of financial incentives to encourage householders to recycle	14.07.06	Not before October 2006	05.09.06
Powers to restrict consumption of alcohol in public places – adoption of designated areas	16.08.06	January 2007	Considered by the DSP on 06.06.06